

The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Excellence in Women's Health





ultrasound, education and monitoring program

# **Audit Assessment Guide**

nuchaltrans.edu.au



#### Introduction

The Nuchal Translucency Ultrasound Education and Monitoring Program (NTUEMP) perform annual quality assurance checks on practices and operators performing the Nuchal Translucency (NT) scan.

This guide provides information for operators on how audit assessment on NT data is conducted and how performance is measured.

In the past, an audit report has been couched in terms of percentage for NT measurements that lie above the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile with respect to the Fetal Medicine Foundation (FMF) reference curve. In order to provide more detailed operator feedback, in 2017, NTUEMP changed the audit standards to align with those introduced more recently by FMF UK.



### **Minimum Number of Nuchal Scans Required for Audit**

The distribution of nuchal translucency measurements cannot be meaningfully assessed on fewer than 30 scans.

When operators do not meet the minimum number of nuchal scans in an audit year, scans performed in the previous audit year are combined with the current audit year to reach the minimum 30 required. Scans performed from up to three previous audit years can be combined with the current audit year to reach the minimum. If the number of scans across four consecutive audit years is still fewer than 30, a red flag will be issued.

If operators are unable to obtain a case load of 30 plus scans annually for two consecutive years the license may not be renewed for a third year subject to review.

#### Audit Assessment Criteria

Audit Standards assess an individual's performance of the NT scan.

An audit report contains assessment of paired NT and CRL measurements performed by each ultrasound operator over the audited time period with an accompanying description and **'flags'** to indicated performance in three key areas:

BiasThe difference between the paired nuchal translucency (NT) and crown rump<br/>length (CRL) measurements relative to the FMF reference curveSpreadThe way measurements cluster about the FMF reference curveTrendThe shape and direction of the curve of paired NT and CRL measurements<br/>relative to the FMF reference curve



#### **Bias**

Amber Flag

The overall **bias** of paired NT and CRL measurements is estimated relative to the FMF reference curve. This refers to the difference between the observed NT measurements and those expected from the FMF reference curve.

For example, the expected NT for a CRL of 60mm is 1.65mm. Thus, if a patient with a CRL of 60mm has an NT of 2.0mm, the difference is 2.0mm-1.65mm = 0.35mm. If the measured NT is 1.0mm, the difference is 1.0mm-1.65mm = -0.65mm.

The bias value displayed next to the distribution plot denotes the median distance of all measurements from the curve. This identifies whether the operator generally over-measures or under-measures the NT.

Feedback on the degree of bias observed in each dataset is indicated using a Green (G), Amber (A) or Red (R) flag. Ideally half the nuchal measurements in a dataset should lie above the curve and half below.

**Green Flag** Bias relative to the FMF reference curve is less than or equal to 0.10mm

Bias is greater than 0.10mm and less than or equal to 0.25mm









#### **Spread**

The **spread**, also known as **standard deviation**, specifies how most measurements cluster along the FMF reference curve

The value displayed is the factor by which the spread is increased where the measurements vary more greatly than would be expected given the CRL or decreased where the measurements cluster very tightly around the curve, without the expected normal variance.

A **flag** for spread is given to indicate whether, after taking account of CRL, the spread of NT measurement is greater or less than expected from the FMF reference curve. Only Green (G) or Amber (A) flags are applicable. The comments will reflect whether the NT measurements are more or less spread from the FMF reference curve than expected.





#### Trend

**Trend** takes into account the shape and direction of the curve of paired NT and CRL measurements relative to that of the FMF reference curve. The value displayed shows the degree of discrepancy between NT measurements across different CRL values.

A **flag** for trend is applicable if there is a trend deviation from the FMF reference curve. A trend deviation describes the relationship between NT and CRL measurements in relation to the direction of the FMF reference curve. A comment for trend is given only for an Amber (A) flag and describes the direction of the trend deviation.

#### **Interpreting measures**

Deviations in measurements can impact on the final risk for Down syndrome that is provided to each patient through prenatal screening.

		Description	Effect on risk calculation		
Bias	Positive	Points tend to lie above the FMF curve.	Estimated risks are increased.		
	Negative	Points tend to lie below the FMF curve.	Estimated risks are decreased.		
Spread	Increased	Points tend to lie further from the FMF curve.	Estimated risk tends to be increased for NT measurements above the curve and decreased for NT measurements below the curve.		
	Decreased	Points tend to lie closer from the FMF curve.	Estimated risk tends to be decreased for NT measurements above the curve and increased for NT measurements below the curve.		

The summary below is a simplified interpretation of the effect on patient risks.



Trend	Positive	For lower CRL values, points tend to lie below the curve. For higher CRL values, points tend to lie above the curve.	Estimated risks are decreased for patients with low CRL and increased for patients with high CRL.
	Negative	For lower CRL values, points tend to lie above the curve. For higher CRL values, points tend to lie below the curve.	Estimated risks are increased for patients with low CRL and decreased for patients with high CRL.

## Interpreting audit reports

When interpreting audit reports, bias is the primary focus of feedback. Assessors' comments on images supplied are relevant as they further reinforce the quality assurance process.

Bias flag	Description	Action
Green (G)	Satisfactory performance and meeting audit standard.	No action required to continue screening.
Amber (A)	Meeting audit standard for first year. Meeting audit standard for the second year, if first year audit bias is amber	Practitioners are required to discuss the adjustment required to improve practice with the person nominated in the practice to support feedback process or staff from the NTUEMP
Red (R)	Performance unsatisfactory and not meeting audit standard.	Practitioners are required to undertake further training, support, advice and supervision until measurements improve to receive either Amber (A) or Green (G) flag status for bias.



#### **Audit Data**

Annual quality assurance checks on practices and operators performing the Nuchal Translucency (NT) scan ensure that high standards are maintained by continuing education and audit. Audit involves assessment of NT measurements of each sonographer and examination of their images, from which feedback can be provided on how to improve NT technique if required.

The data can only be submitted for audit if there is a T21 risk calculated. For patients who have had cfDNA screening (NIPT), the T21 risk needs to be calculated to facilitate audit.

Viewpoint users may calculate the risks for audit purposes only by ticking the boxes at the bottom of the risk calculation page so that risks are not printed in the final report- please see screen shots below.

#### Viewpoint 5

ViewPoint	- [ Test Test 25/07/2018]						
<u>F</u> ile <u>M</u> odul	es <u>V</u> iew <u>E</u> dit <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u>	<u>d</u> elp					
<b>P</b> <sup>F2</sup>	11-14 week asses	ssment					x n x
	Fetal heart activity	present 1	🗆 Maternal	Characteristics and History			
F3	FHR	158 bpm	✓ <u>A</u> dditiona	I Markers for Aneuploidies			
	CRL	67.0 mm	🗆 Maternal	Serum-Biochemistry			
	Nuchal translucency	1.60 mm Nuchal <u>c</u> ord	🗆 Risk for P	regnancy Complications			
	NTscan /TR screening	I	Risk for A	neuploidies			
	BPD	23.0 mm	C Additiona	l Biometry			
	FL	mm	Doppler				
F4	Gestational age	12W + 6D					
Ē	The gestational age wa	as not calculated by CRL. Please enter screen					
	Gestational age and si	elect CRL for the dating of the pregnancy.					
	Skull / brain	appears normal 🗸	Heart	appears normal	•		
	Spine	appears normal -	Abdomen	appears normal	<b>-</b>		
	Stomach	visible	Bladder	visible	•		
	Hands	both visible	Feet	both visible	▼		
	Other	•	Detailed	<u>A</u> natomy			
	Placenta	anterior	Structure				
	Amniotic Fluid	normal	Cord	3 vessel, central			
C III	🗆 Overall Diagnos	is					
2	Print / Display Ontions	display centiles	risk assessment or	n the Internal Report / Letter to Refe	ring Doctor		
	Think's biopidy options	□ print centiles □ don't print the	risk assessment or	n the First Trimester Screening Rep	nt		
$\bigcirc$							
$(\mathscr{H})$							
$\smile$							
	D Flor hall I					L User 2	
	Press F10 to exit this mask	Press Esc to cancel				User: pia	U A LOAP NUM JUVR



#### Viewpoint 6

On the bottom left of the screen you need to click on the 2<sup>nd</sup> symbol – see arrow.



You can then select:

- 1. Eye symbol- Only the operator can see the risk assessment
- 2. Print symbol- Both the operator and the printed report will have risk assessment

When using Viewpoint 6 you need to select the eye symbol to be able to calculate the risk assessment for audit. The risk assessment will only show in the report you issue if you select the print symbol – so do not select this if you do not want to give a risk figure, for example if there has been NIPT.

As the eye symbol is selected in the below example, the risk calculation can be performed to enable audit. As the print symbol is not selected, the risk calculated will not be in the report issued.

Patient Info Message		Patient Demograph	nics 🥝	Medical History		Pregnancy History	<b>Ø</b>	First Trimester Risk
Test Test		Risk Parameters	Nasal bone: present.	Fetal cardiac activity: pres	sent.			
21/12/1980 (40 years) Exams Carly Pregnancy Growth and Well New exam	~	Risk Assessment Trisomy screening option FMF operator Include markers	O screening declin Recale NB	ed Tr21 or rulate	nly V	Tr18 and Tr13 on Risk calc. by  U	y S operator boratory	• Tr21, Tr18 and Tr13
<ul> <li>✓ ■ Obstetrics 12/03/2021</li> <li>▲ Nuchal Transluce × 12/03/2021</li> </ul>		Risk at time of screening	Trisc	omy 21		Trisomy 18		Trisomy 13
New exam	~	Background risk	: 1 ir	77		1 in 194		1 in 607
Access Others		Adjusted ris	1 in 707		1 in 802			1 in 3,083
Image: Section	^	The background risk is ba (nuchal translucency and The risk assessment was perform (UK Registered charity 1037116). (see www.fetalmedicine.com).	sed on maternal age. The stir nasal bone). ed by The estir The risk is only valid if the ultr	1e adjusted risk (risk at ti nated risk is calculated by the FN asound scan was performed by a	me of screenin /IF-1/04/2016 softwa sonographer who h	g) is calculated on the basis of are and is based on findings from exten as been accredited by the Fetal Medici	the backgrou ive research coor re Foundation and	nd risk, ultrasound markers dinated by the Fetal Medicine Foundation has submitted results for regular audit
Fetal Doppler		Other						~
Maternal Doppler  Maternal Structures  Risk Parameters  Risk Assessment  Preeclampsia Screening Invasive Procedures Precondi Novasive Procedures Treatme CVS CVS Procedure Multiple Pregnancy - Fetal Po	l	Multiple Pregnancy Summary	Fetal Position					
Comment	11	comment						
Internal Comment (not printe     Conclusion	~	Internal Comment (r	ot printed)					•

In this case the calculated risk is to appear in the report – the print symbol has been selected for the risk assessment, and the risk assessment shows in the report issued.

Patient Info Message		Patient Demographics	Medical H	istory	Pregnancy History	<ul> <li>First Trimester Risk</li> </ul>
Test Test 21/12/1980 (40 years)						
Exams						
<ul> <li>▲ Early Pregnancy 10/08/2020</li> <li>▲ Growth and Well 11/08/2020</li> </ul>	^	Risk Assessment Chosen trisomy screening opti	ion: Tr21, Tr18 and Tr13.			
• New exam		Risk at time of screenin	ng Trisomy 21	Trisomy 1	8 Trisomy 13	
<ul> <li>Obstetrics 12/03/2021</li> <li>Obstetrics 12/03/2021</li> </ul>		Background ris	sk 1 in 77	1 in 194	1 in 607	
Nuchai fransluce • 12/03/2021	<i>,</i>	Adjusted ris	sk 1 in 707	1 in 802	1 in 3,083	
Access Others		the basis of the background ri The risk assessment was performed by extensive research coordinated by the Fet performed by a sonographer who has be www.fetalmedicine.com).	sk, ultrasound markers ( The estimated risk i tal Medicine Foundation (UK Regi en accredited by the Fetal Medici	nuchal translucency calculated by the FMF-1/04 tered charity 1037116). The e Foundation and has subm	and nasal bone). /2016 software and is based on findings from risk is only valid if the ukrasound scan was itted results for regular audit (see	,
💿 🚆 Section						
Method						
<ul> <li>Dating</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>General Evaluation</li> </ul>						
Fetal Biometry     Eetal Anatomy						
Fetal Anatomy     Fetal Doppler						
Maternal Doppler						
Maternal Structures						
Risk Parameters     Risk Assessment						
Preeclampsia Screening						
Invasive Procedures Precondi						
Invasive Procedures Treatme     Amniocentesis						

If you use Astraia software, please contact the NTUEMP office to discuss options.